

### ***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

Application No.

09/728,378

Examiner

ALEX NOGUEROLA

Applicant(s)

UPDYKE ET AL.

Art Unit

1753

**All Participants:**

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.

(2) Rae Lynn Prengaman.

**Date of Interview:** 12/04/2003

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

**Claims discussed:**  
67, 76-78, and 85-87

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Ratliff, of record*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
Miss Prendaman authorized the examiner's amendment

### Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Clb. Nazare  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)